From: tom mcewen < tom@greenleafarchitecture.co.uk >

Sent: 12 September 2022 09:39

To: Jennifer.Allen2@balfourbeatty.com

Cc: Webster, Gemma < Gemma. Webster 3@herefordshire.gov.uk >; simonnorth9

Subject: Fwd: FW: FW: FW: 222006 & 222007 - Lower Daffaluke

Hi Jenny, (CC, Gemma Webster & Simon North),

I hope you don't mind me emailing you directly, to avoid Gemma forwarding correspondence.

Please see below an email from my client, the applicant for this application. You'll see he has forwarded information from the groundworking company who installed the PTP, with photos of the plant and ground water levels. There is also a comment/query about surface water. As I've previously stated, this proposal will reduce greatly the amount of hardstanding/building on the site. The tin barn is existing, the re-built proposal will be smaller in size, so the impact will be reduced.

I'm sorry to come back to you again on this, however, given how long this application has been in the system (and no objection was raised when the previous withdrawn application was being determined), I would welcome your response to the below. My number is below if you felt that a conversation would help to resolve this quicker.

Kind regards,

Tom

Greenleaf Architecture,
Rough Farm,
Garway Hill,
Herefordshire,
HR2 8HD
www.greenleafarchitecture.co.uk

t.

Registered Company No. 10913109

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Re: FW: FW: FW: 222006 & 222007 - Lower Daffaluke

Date:Sun, 11 Sep 2022 21:25:10 +0100

From: Simon North

To:tom mcewen <tom@greenleafarchitecture.co.uk>

Hi Tom,

In response to below I've reached out to the contractor who installed our PTP last year, Kettle Civils Ltd, for further information. For ease, I've taken a copy of the email response from Kettle Civils Ltd - if this is not clear please let me know and I can forward the original. I can also confirm that the discharge pipe to the watercourse is within our boundary.

Lawrence has advised it was not necessary/possible to carry out percolation tests due to the height of the water underground - the photo below shows the water level when digging out for PTP installation. He also re-iterates that the installation was inspected and signed off by Building Control, therefore to revisit this now undermines that sign off.

When I spoke with Lawrence he also made comment in relation to the surface water drainage requirements. He advised that percolation tests to establish infiltration rates would be unproductive due to the water levels he observed (forgive me if I've not got the wording quite right here) - he has said that without question, all surface water runoff will need to be channelled into the stream. I am keen not to have to delay further and pay for tests to be done if a formal response on this from Kettle Civils Ltd would provide Jenny with the information she needs with the knowledge of this additional information, but I obviously will if required.

I am happy for you to forward this email directly to Gemma and/or Jenny. I'm also sure Lawrence will provide any additional information that is required.

In addition, I've attached the proof requested that it is a running stream. Note, the level is the lowest we've ever known it due to the unprecedented dry spell, but it's still running.

Regards Simon

Re: Some help and support please D Inbox x



lawrence kettle

to me. +

Hi Simon

Please see attached photos of the excavation which shows the height the water reached overnight. Therefore no percola in fact we had to raise the plant a little to insure it still works correctly when the stream floods the driveway.



I'm surprised they are asking for this information as it was all inspected and signed off by building control. Kind Regards

Lawrence KETTLE CIVILS LTD

