Planning application comment was submitted on the 26 October 2023 10:42 AM

The following is a comment on application P232807/F by jude rogers

Nature of feedback: Objecting to the application

Comment: I still totally object to this client application as I have done from the start. This new reviewed application is no better than any of the last ones.

In fact the new plans state that all of the housing plots will have room for expected extensions in the future. This means that there will not just be 20 houses with their bedrooms but also a prospective 20 more bedrooms which could mean 20 more people. This could mean 20 more cars etc etc.

The plans also state now that the residents cannot park near their houses but must park at the car park at the bottom this means that the 40 odd so parking spaces that were near the houses have now been scrapped so that leaves 40 spaces not accounted for for the amount of houses that are going on the site.

The foot path along Tump Lane is not adequate and in fact the traffic calming scheme and passing paces are dangerous. The passing place at the top of the lane is on a corner where you cannot see round the corner to see if anyone is coming so you do not know if you have to wait. since the last plans were put forward there have been erected six new houses on the pilgrim site which come out onto the lane and also the farm has a large farm machinery company which means that large farm machineary is going up and down the lane constantly. The path does not extend to the bottom end of the lane which is one of the most treacherous parts to walk on the lane, why is there no path? The new housing and farm traffic will mean the traffic is more than was recorded in the original traffic survey and also that the need for housing is less as many houses have been built since the application was put forward showing there is no need now for extra housing on our Lane.

With the expected extra traffic from the 20 houses and now perhaps 20 more bedrooms to house another 20 people will mean a much larger flow of traffic going through the garages and onto the narrow Lane. The "roadway" through the garages the houses and larkrise between the car park of Larkrise and the entry entrance and exit to Tump Lane is in parts very narrow and two cars cannot pass. This is inadequate for the amount of traffic that will be coming through this corridor and very dangerous for any children playing near the corridor, in the play area and in the garage area.

Again the issue of not been able to see traffic from both directions to safely exit onto this corridor from the exit of the four houses 51 to 54 has not been addressed. A dangerous exit that will become an accident spot with all the traffic passing along the corridor.

I believe that this plane application should be withdrawn and not past by the council for all the above reasons which include safety of the existing residence during the erection of the houses and afterwards with the extended traffic.

Attachment:

Their contact details are as follows:

First name: jude

..... namor jaa

Last name: rogers

Email:

Postcode: HR2 8HW

Address: 54 Tump Lane

Herefordshire

Infrastructure from section 106 to consider: Nothing

Link ID: https://www.herefordshire.gov.uk/info/200142/planning_services/planning_application_search/details?id=232807

Form reference: FS-Case-557261320