

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 19 April 2024 10:21  
**To:** Planning Enquiries <[planning\\_enquiries@herefordshire.gov.uk](mailto:planning_enquiries@herefordshire.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** Re: P240422/F LAND EAST OF HEREFORD

I attach photographs of Holywell Gutter Lane to illustrate how it doesn't meet the recommendations by West Mercia Police for ancient pathways.

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Date:** Fri, 19 Apr 2024 at 08:22  
**Subject:** P240422/F LAND EAST OF HEREFORD  
**To:** <[planning\\_enquiries@herefordshire.gov.uk](mailto:planning_enquiries@herefordshire.gov.uk)>

I wish to object to this application.

Holywell Gutter Lane is listed as one of the links into the new development.

This ancient and historical byway contravenes all the guidelines given by West Mercia Police, who say that

“Where a segregated footpath is unavoidable, e.g ... an ancient field path, heritage route ...ensure that they are

- . As straight as possible
- . Well lit
- . Devoid of potential hiding places
- . Overlooked by surrounding buildings
- . Well maintained so as to enable natural surveillance along the path and its border
- . It is important that the user has good visibility along the route of the footpath
- . The footpath should be as much “designed” as the buildings.

Holy Gutter Lane does not meet any of the above desired criteria.

This historical path cannot accommodate potentially another 850 pedestrians and cycles using it.

Please reject this application.

Glennis Aspinall



“The path should be devoid of hiding places... and overlooked by surrounding buildings”.





The path should be well lit". It is not



The path can be very intimidating when walking alone.

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 25 April 2024 07:24  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: P240422/F LAND EAST OF HEREFORD

Hello Victoria  
I thought my address was on provided at the end of my application.  
If not here it is again

56 Mantella Drive  
Hereford  
HR1 1FB