

From: Nick Bradshaw <NBradshaw@connect-consultants.com>

Sent: 10 July 2020 13:37

To: Palmer, Leanne <LEANNE.PALMER@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Cc: Nigel Millington <nigel@pja.co.uk>; Guy Wakefield <GWakefield@ridge.co.uk>; Emma Wilsdon <ewilsdon@ridge.co.uk>; clerk@ledburytowncouncil.gov.uk; AParkinson@landmarkchambers.co.uk; 'Nick Rawlings (Nick.Rawlings@bloorhomes.com)' <Nick.Rawlings@bloorhomes.com>; Christopher Young QC <[REDACTED]>; Mike Edwards <mike@pja.co.uk>; Graham Lee <Graham@Amberss.com>

Subject: RE: Land North of Viaduct, Adjoining Orchard Business Park, Ledbury

Leanne, please find attached a document which provides some analysis of the TRICS data in my proof and in Nigel Millington's rebuttal.

Each page relates to a TRICS run.

The first page of the TRICS run is the one referred to in my proof at 2.5.19, and the figures in my proof at Table 1 are derived from the second page.

The remaining pages are the TRICS runs in Nigel Millington's rebuttal, which I have reproduced.

The top left of each page shows the TRICS output with the am and pm peak hour trip rates highlighted yellow. I do not consider that there is any need to delve into this in detail, other than being aware of the headline results which are in proofs.

At the bottom of the page on the left is the rank order, which lists the TRICS sites which are included in each run in rank order of busiest, with the highest at the top.

At the bottom right, for each TRICS site I have listed the characteristics, and highlighted their comparability to the appeal site.

I intend for this to be part of the round table discussion.

Nigel/Mike, if you have any observations or corrections to the tables, then please let me know and we can pick this up over the weekend.

Kind regards, Nick

Nick Bradshaw MCIHT CMILT
Director

Connect Consultants Ltd, in response to the Covid-19 advice are currently working remotely.
I am currently working from home, I am receiving emails and telephone calls.

Connect Consultants Ltd
78 Broad Street, Chipping Sodbury
Bristol, BS37 6AG

t: 01454 320 220
m: 07920 841 000
e: nbradshaw@connect-consultants.com



If you have received this e-mail by mistake, please advise the sender immediately and remove it from your system. Please consider the environment before printing this email. Thank you.

Connect Consultants is a limited company registered in England and Wales.
Registered number: 06001923. Registered office: 16 Gold Tops, Newport, South Wales.
NP20 4PH

This message (and any associated files) transmitted with it is intended for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is confidential, subject to copyright or constitutes a trade secret. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, copying or distribution of this message or associated files is strictly prohibited, and may be unlawful. If you have received this message in error, please notify us by replying to the author and deleting it from your computer system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the company.

From: Graham Lee <Graham@Amberss.com>

Sent: 09 July 2020 13:52

To: Palmer, Leanne <LEANNE.PALMER@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Cc: Nigel Millington <nigel@pja.co.uk>; Guy Wakefield <GWakefield@ridge.co.uk>; Emma Wilsdon <ewilsdon@ridge.co.uk>; clerk@ledburytowncouncil.gov.uk; AParkinson@landmarkchambers.co.uk; 'Nick Rawlings (Nick.Rawlings@bloorhomes.com)' <Nick.Rawlings@bloorhomes.com>; Christopher Young QC <[REDACTED]>; Mike Edwards <mike@pja.co.uk>; Nick Bradshaw <NBradshaw@connect-consultants.com>

Subject: Land North of Viaduct, Adjoining Orchard Business Park, Ledbury

Dear Leanne,

As mentioned at the Test Event, the Town Council's highways team has been considering the implications of the Appellant's rebuttal evidence, including revised junction design. To help facilitate the roundtable discussion, the parties are in the process of discussing a schedule setting out the points that are in dispute/not in dispute under point (6) of the issues in dispute at paragraph 2.2 of the Statement of Common ground.

Two of the issues that remain in dispute are the non-blocking storage capacity for the right turn lane from Bromyard Road to Hereford Road and the inter-green periods. In light of the Appellant's evidence, there are two additional documents that I will want to refer to at the roundtable discussion on these points. I have attached these to this email. The first deals with the non-blocking storage capacity, the second is relevant to the inter-green periods.

We also intend to submit a supplementary statement of common ground setting out which of the other issues at paragraph 2.2 of the Highways Statement of Common Ground remain in dispute following the exchange of evidence.

We hope to get both the supplementary statement of common ground, and the table relating to point (6) of the issues in dispute to the Inspector as soon as possible.

Best wishes,

Graham Lee

Traffic Signals Consultant

