For official use only (date received): 10/12/2015 11:39:51

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE - PERSONAL FORM (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in either the start date letter or the notification leter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/W1850/W/15/3137273

SENDER DETAILS		
Name	MRS KAY HOLT	
Address	Munns Cottage, The Row Wellington HEREFORD HR4 8AP	
Email Address		
Telephone Number		

For official use only (date received): 10/12/2015 11:39:51

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/W1850/W/15/3137273

DETAILS OF THE CASE		
Appeal Reference	APP/W1850/W/15/3137273	
Appeal By Site Address	A R PARTNERS LTD	
	Stocks House Farm Land West of C1109, Upper Wellington, Wellington Hereford HR4 8AZ Grid Ref Easting: 348818 Grid Ref Northing: 247688	
SENDER DETAILS		
Name	MRS KAY HOLT	
ABOUT YOUR COM	MENTS	
In what capacity do y	ou wish to make representations on this case?	
☐ Appellant		
☐ Agent		
☑ Interested Party /	Person	
☐ Land Owner		
☐ Rule 6 (6)		
What kind of represer	ntation are you making?	
☐ Final Comments		
☐ Proof of Evidence		
☐ Statement		
☐ Statement of Com	mon Ground	
	erson Correspondence	
□ Other		

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

I am appalled that the previous decision recommending refusal has now gone to appeal. I objected to

the original application and my comments still stand. The siting of this solar farm is incomprehensible being on raised ground and visible for miles around. There are many issues with this sloppy application not least being its contradictory & incorrect statements when planning was initially applied for. There are no benefits to the community, only issues that we will have to live with for the forseeable future. These include visual impact on the community on what is an attractive entry into the village. Drainage issues affecting the public footpath where access to the solar farm would be. Potential disturbance to mobile 'phone and radio for residents living near to the solar farm. Noise issues. Increased traffic to the site on what is a narrow country lane with no footpath and where we already have problems as pedestrians and cyclists. With the SAS based in nearby Credenhill, we have increased low flying air traffic which potentially could be affected by these solar panels and reflection. The so-called screening hedge would have to be so tall to screen this eyesore that it would render the solar farm ineffective. We have badger setts at the top of the field and in the adjacent orchard and other wildlife that would be impacted by this solar farm. As a community we are against this siting of the solar farm. The impact on the community is too great. Only the landowner will benefit from this application with over-generous subsidies. I urge you to turn down this appeal, please.