

From: Mike Edwards <mike@pja.co.uk>

Sent: 12 July 2020 22:28

To: Palmer, Leanne <LEANNE.PALMER@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Cc: Nigel Millington <nigel@pja.co.uk>; Guy Wakefield <GWakefield@ridge.co.uk>; Emma Wilsdon <ewilsdon@ridge.co.uk>; clerk@ledburytowncouncil.gov.uk; AParkinson@landmarkchambers.co.uk; 'Nick Rawlings (Nick.Rawlings@bloorhomes.com)' <Nick.Rawlings@bloorhomes.com>; Christopher Young QC <[REDACTED]>; Nick Bradshaw <NBradshaw@connect-consultants.com>; Graham Lee <Graham@Amberss.com>

Subject: RE: Land North of Viaduct, Adjoining Orchard Business Park, Ledbury

Leanne,

Apologies for the delay in responding - final versions of the model were not received until 3pm on Friday.

In order to keep Matter 6 of the highways round table focussed, I can confirm that PJA are happy for the three attached documents to be used to inform discussions (Mr Lee has also agreed to this via separate email a short while ago).

It is important to note that, each party is simply agreeing that the respective input parameters and traffic flows have been correctly entered to the relevant models – the flows and parameters are still a point of disagreement.

I would be grateful if you could pass this information through to the Inspector.

Regards
Mike



Mike Edwards

Director

T. 0121 475 0234 M. 07568 128442

Seven House, High Street, Longbridge, Birmingham, B31 2UQ

www.pja.co.uk

PJA fully supports the actions that Government is taking to control the spread of COVID-19. This does not affect our ability to function as a business – calls to our offices will be answered and our teams are also fully contactable via email and mobile phone. We are here to assist our clients through this period.



From: Graham Lee <Graham@Amberss.com>

Sent: 12 July 2020 20:35

To: Palmer, Leanne <LEANNE.PALMER@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Cc: Nigel Millington <nigel@pja.co.uk>; Guy Wakefield <GWakefield@ridge.co.uk>; Emma Wilsdon <ewilsdon@ridge.co.uk>; clerk@ledburytowncouncil.gov.uk; AParkinson@landmarkchambers.co.uk; 'Nick Rawlings (Nick.Rawlings@bloorhomes.com)' <Nick.Rawlings@bloorhomes.com>; Christopher Young QC <[REDACTED]>; Mike Edwards <mike@pja.co.uk>; Nick Bradshaw <NBradshaw@connect-consultants.com>

Subject: RE: Land North of Viaduct, Adjoining Orchard Business Park, Ledbury

Dear Leanne,

Please accept my apologies for sending this though on a Sunday. I have attached the table I previously referred to relating to point 6 of the Statement of Common Ground (Position Summary G Lee) along with updated LinSig results and Summary Tables. The Appellant has seen these documents since last Wednesday. I had hoped to get these agreed before sending to you, but that has not been possible. Hopefully this will still be agreed before the Inquiry, but I wanted to send through to ensure the inspector had the information in any case.

Best wishes,

Graham Lee
Traffic Signals Consultant
[REDACTED]

From: Graham Lee

Sent: 09 July 2020 13:52

To: Palmer, Leanne <LEANNE.PALMER@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Cc: Nigel Millington <nigel@pja.co.uk>; Guy Wakefield <GWakefield@ridge.co.uk>; Emma Wilsdon <ewilsdon@ridge.co.uk>; clerk@ledburytowncouncil.gov.uk; AParkinson@landmarkchambers.co.uk; 'Nick Rawlings (Nick.Rawlings@bloorhomes.com)' <Nick.Rawlings@bloorhomes.com>; Christopher Young QC <[REDACTED]>; Mike Edwards <mike@pja.co.uk>; Nick Bradshaw <NBradshaw@connect-consultants.com>

Subject: Land North of Viaduct, Adjoining Orchard Business Park, Ledbury

Dear Leanne,

As mentioned at the Test Event, the Town Council's highways team has been considering the implications of the Appellant's rebuttal evidence, including revised junction design. To help facilitate the roundtable discussion, the parties are in the process of discussing a schedule setting out the points that are in dispute/not in dispute under point (6) of the issues in dispute at paragraph 2.2 of the Statement of Common ground.

Two of the issues that remain in dispute are the non-blocking storage capacity for the right turn lane from Bromyard Road to Hereford Road and the inter-green periods. In light of the Appellant's evidence, there are two additional documents that I will want to refer to at the roundtable discussion on these points. I have attached these to this email. The first deals with the non-blocking storage capacity, the second is relevant to the inter-green periods.

We also intend to submit a supplementary statement of common ground setting out which of the other issues at paragraph 2.2 of the Highways Statement of Common Ground remain in dispute following the exchange of evidence.

We hope to get both the supplementary statement of common ground, and the table relating to point (6) of the issues in dispute to the Inspector as soon as possible.

Best wishes,

Graham Lee

Traffic Signals Consultant



The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. This email has been scanned for viruses and malware.